



# Keith Wyman<sup>1</sup>, Alexander R. Albrecht<sup>1</sup>, Garrett D. Cole<sup>2</sup>, **Mansoor Sheik-Bahae<sup>1</sup> Development of Semiconductor Disk Lasers for Sodium Guidestar Applications**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico <sup>2</sup> Cyrstalline Mirror Solutions, Santa Barbara, California

Acknowledgements to the Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies, Olivia Byrd from the Air Force Research Labs, and the Air Force Office of Scientific Research



# **Outline**



- **Introduction**
- **Background of Laser Guidestar (LGS)**
- **Sodium Beacon Requirements**
- **History of Sodium Beacon Laser Sources**
- **Vertical External-Cavity Surface-Emitting Laser (VECSEL)**
- **Thermal Management and Power Scaling**
	- **Distributed Bragg Reflector Free (DBR free)**
	- **In Well Pumping/Multipass**
	- **Gain Embedded Meta Mirror (GEMM)**
- **Summary**



# **Sodium Laser Beacon Background**



- Guidestar Adaptive Optics on dim objects up to relative magnitude (RM) of 19
- Natural vs. Laser Guidestar (LGS)
- Sodium LGS
	- Excite mesospheric sodium atoms at 90km
	- RM of ~6 (depends on sodium layer)
	- Three current viable sodium guidestar sources
	- All sources are expensive and complex



$$
m_x - m_{x,0} = -2.5 \log_{10} \left( \frac{F_x}{F_{x,0}} \right)
$$

Max, C., American Astronomical Society, University of California at Santa Cruz, 2009.



1



# **Sodium Laser Beacon Requirements**

 $-3$ 

59.8 MHz

35.5 MHz

16.5 MHz

 $3S_{1/2}$  1772 MHz

 $-2$ 

 $-2$ 

 $-2$ 

 $-1$ 

 $-1$ 

 $-1$ 

 $m = -1$ 

- Beam Quality  $M^2 < 1.1$  $3P_{3/2}$
- Linewidth < 3 GHz
- Power > 10 W
- Wavelength: 589 nm
	- Very hard to access
	- Non-linear optics often required
	- Second Harmonic Generation of 1178 nm
	- Sum Frequency Generation of 1319 nm, 1064 nm

 $F = 3$ 

 $F = 2$ 

 $F = 1$ 

 $F = 0$ 

 $F = 2$ 

 $F = 1$ 

 $\overline{2}$ 

 $\overline{0}$ 

 $\overline{0}$ 

 $m = 0$ 

 $\Omega$ 

0

 $1 -$ 



# **Laser Sources for Sodium Beacons**



#### 1<sup>st</sup> Generation Dye Laser 2



#### 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation Raman Fiber Amplifier



#### 2<sup>nd</sup> Generation Sum Frequency Laser



- Denman et al., CfAO Conference Proceedings, November 2006.
- Bonaccini Calia D. et al., ESO Messenger Press Release, March 2010.
- Hackett, Shawn, UNM Digital Repository, November 2016



# **Optically Pumped Semiconductor Laser (OPSL)**



- Vertical External-Cavity Surface-Emitting Lasers
	- High quality mode  $(M^2<sup>o</sup>1)$
	- Power scalability (106 W fundamental @ 1um)
	- Intracavity access (frequency conversion, cavity enhanced absorption,…)
	- ‒ Wavelength flexibility
	- ‒ Broadband gain

- M. Kuznetsov, et al., *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.* 9, 1997
- B. Heinen, et al., *Electron. Lett.,* 2012
- U. Keller and A. C. Tropper, *Phys. Rep.,* 2006
- A. Garnache, et al., *J. Opt. Soc. Amer. B*, 2000
- M. Scheller, et al., *Opt. Express,* 2010
- A. Quarterman, et al., *Nat. Photonics,* 2009
- A. Albrecht et al., *Opt. Express,* 2013



# **OPSL for Sodium Beacons**

University of Technology





Hackett, S., et al. *SPIE Photonics West Proceedings*, 2016 Fallahi, M., et al. *IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett*., 2008. Kantola, E., et al. *Opt. Express*, March 2014.





# **Thermal Management and Power Scaling**



**Quantum Defect:** Laser= 1178 nm , Pump=808 nm  $\delta_{quantum} = 1 P_{laser}$  $P_{pump}$  $\approx$ 32%

#### **Heat Loads:**



**Gain region:** Quantum defect and non-radiative recombination losses

**DBR region:** pump absorption ( $\approx$  11% of the incident pump power for 12 QWs)



Thermal conductivity coefficients:

- DBRs: ~ 20 W/(m∙K) : thermal bottleneck
- Diamond heat spreader: ~ 2000 W/(m∙K) (ideal)
- SiC heat spreader:  $\sim$  400 W/(m⋅K) (the next best thing)











### **DBR-Free SDL Configurations**





[Z. Yang, et al., Proc. SPIE 9349, 934905 (2015)]





# **DBR-Free Architecture**



- M. Sheik-Bahae, U.S. Patent # 11/845,367 (2009)
- Z. Yang, et al.,, Opt. Express, 23 (26), 33164 (2015)
- H. Kahle *et al.*, *Optica*, vol. 3, no. 12, pp. 1506–1512 (2016)
- S. Mirkhanov *et al.*, *Electron. Lett.*, vol. 53, no. 23, pp. 1537–1539, (2017)



### **Dual-SiC Heat Spreader DBR-Free**





#### **16 W DBR-free semiconductor disk laser using dual-SiC-heatspreader**

Yang, et al. Electronics Letters ( Volume: 54 , Issue: 7 , 4 5 2018 )

Also see: S. Mirkhanov *et al.*, *Electron. Lett.*, vol. 53, no. 23, pp. 1537–1539, 2017 (Univ. Dundee)



### **Wafer-Scale Fabrication**





# **Cost-efficient manufacturing of high-power SDLs**

#### **Wafer-scale manufacturing process for a dual-SiC-heatspreader structure:**

(a) direct bonding of epitaxial GaAs to SiC (b) lithography and wet etching of dicing lanes

(c) second bonding step for SiC/epi/SiC (d) Close up of a dicing lane for a 5x5  $mm^2$  chip

**118 die @ 5 × 5 mm<sup>2</sup>from a single fab run**

Optical Science and Engineering





#### **Single-SiC Heat Spreader DBR-Free**







# **Tip Tilt LGS**

- Pumping two transitions simultaneously
	- Known as a Polychromatic LGS (PLGS)
- Tip/Tilt correction via Δn (dispersion)
- Greater Δn increases TTLGS performance
- Atmospheric transmission is poor for many transitions such as 330 nm
- Best candidate transition is 1140 nm
	- Large absorption cross section
	- OPSL able to be grown at 1140 nm
	- High atmospheric transmission (approximately 70%)







### **1140 nm OPSL Performance**



40

 $M^2 = 1.0$ (Perfect Beam)

100

50

50

60



- High power at 1131 nm 1167 nm with BRF
	- Narrowband power at 1140 nm  $> 12.5 W$
- 22.5 W max power with slope efficiency of 32%
- Slope Efficiency of 26%
- Bandwidth less than ~7.5 GHz (OSA limit)
- $M^2$  at 5 W



Absorbed Pump Power (W)

Hackett, Shawn, UNM Digital Repository, November 2016



**University of New Mexico** Optical Science and Engineering

# **In-Well Pumping**





#### **No DBR : No parasitic absorption of the pump**

Quantum defect:

$$
\delta_{quantum} = 1 - \frac{h v_{laser}}{h v_{pump}}
$$

Pumped @ 808 nm

$$
\delta_{quantum} = 31.4\%
$$

Pumped @ 1070 nm

 $\delta_{quantum} = 9.2\%$ 



Yang, et al. SPIE Conference Proceedings, March 2019



#### **GEMM Modeling Results**





### **Conclusion**



- **Laser power, linewidth, and beam quality for 1140 nm and 1178 nm OPSL devices were shown**
- **Multiple methods for thermal management of OPSL devices were discussed**
	- **DBR free OPSL with SiC heat spreader has been compared with traditional VECSEL**
	- **Multipass scheme has been demonstrated and can be used for In-Well Pumping**
	- **A GaAs-based GEMM-on-diamond structure can theoretically outperform traditional VECSELs by more than a factor of 3**



